UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,364	09/08/2003	Hiroshi Usuda	SONYJP 3.0-323	9549
	7590 04/24/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	Z & MENTLIK		WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,364	USUDA, HIROSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>						
						extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		II	DTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to contain the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to contain the affidavit or other evidence failed t	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce pecause:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Aklilu Woldemariam/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Samir Ahmed / Examiner, Art Unit 2624					

Applicant agued that reference (Kikuchi and Kohei) do not disclose claim limitations, " "the first information as terminal identification information to establish communication through the network as a registered device" and "pieces of content corresponding to one of a second series of graphic codes" and inputting a selected code, so that an operating unit may "execute the one of the operating instructions with respect to the one of the pieces of content." Examiner disagreed with applicant argument becauses Kikuchi discloses a a communication unit operable to use the first information as terminal identification information to establish communication through network as registered device (see fig. 1 fax and column 2, line 63-column 3, line 3, facsimile communication processing through a communication network, to at least one client connected to facsimile sever system via a LAN (local area network) and Kohei discloses"pieces of content corresponding to one of a second series of graphic codes (see paragraph [0028] and [0029] a medium recognition code, a media code, a site code and stop code are arranged in order of below. Considers as the code corresponding to the identifier of each medium. For example, it considers as the code corresponding to the journal name by which the bar code was carried) and inputting a selected code, so that an operating unit may "execute the one of the operating instructions with respect to the one of the pieces of content (see paragraph [0028] the bar code 303 here is considered as the data configuration shown in drawing 5. It is the code which shows from which medium the media code is accessed, considers as the code corresponding to identifier of each medium. For example, it considers as the code corresponding to the ioumal name by which site accessed).